

SCAMPISM MDD, Version 1.2 Errata Sheet

Last Updated 5/8/07

This errata sheet logs errors identified since the release of the SCAMPI MDD, Version 1.2. Corrections of these errors will be made at the time of the next release of the SCAMPI MDD document.

#	Location in Document	Description of Correction	Rationale	Date Error Was Reported
1	page III-10	Replace "OPF" with "OPF (v1.2)" and add a new row for "OPF (v1.1) that contains spaces for two SGs, five GGs, and a capability rating for PA.	There should be two entries for OPF, one for v1.1 and one for v1.2, since the number of SGs changed.	08/28/2006
2	page III-30	Add entry to Appendix D consisting of the following information: ARC ID: 4.2.1f ARC Requirement: (ABC) Confirm delivery of appraisal results to the sponsor. MDD Process: 3.1 Deliver Appraisal Results MDD Activity: 3.1.1 Deliver Final Findings	A clause was added to the ARC (4.1.2.f), but the ARC/MDD Traceability Table in Appendix D of the MDD was not updated accordingly.	08/29/06
3	page II-98	In the fifth row of the table in the "Instantiation" column, replace "All NI or NY, with at least one NY" with "All NI or NY, with at least one NI"	Fix a typo	09/07/06
4	page I-34	In the third column of the entry for "2.6 Generate Appraisal Results," replace "the three types" with "the two types."	Correct the text to show that there are two types of objective evidence, not three.	09/08/06
5	page II-16	Replace the third first-level bullet and all of its sub-bullets on the page with the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the organizational unit being appraised the appraisal scope (see activity 1.1.3, Determine Appraisal Scope) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the organizational scope of the appraisal the appraisal reference model scope critical factors affecting the appraisal 	Update the list of items required for the appraisal input so that it is clear that the appraisal reference model scope is required.	09/08/06
6	page II-73	In the last sentence of the last paragraph, replace "instantiation-level documents" with "project-level documents."	Update the sentence to be consistent with the discussion of the three levels of documents at the top of the page.	09/08/06
7	pages III-21 and III-22	In the first paragraph on page III-21, replace "related process assets" with "process assets" and replace "OPF SP 2.1-1" with "OPF SP 2.1." In the second row of Table III-3, replace "PP SP 1.1-1" with "PP SP 1.1."	Update the text to be consistent with the Version 1.2 model. SP numbers no longer have the "-1" designation to indicate their capability level. Also, the statement of SP 2.1 in OPF changed and no longer contains the word "related."	09/08/06
8	page III-25	In the second paragraph, add a close quote after "alternative practice and replace the close quote at the beginning of the next paragraph (starting with "A practice that is") with an initial quote.	Fix a typo	09/08/06

9	page II-10	In the last sentence of the first paragraph, add to the end of that sentence "in the appraisal input."	Indicate where the rationale for selecting sample projects and support groups as representative of the OU must be documented.	09/15/06
10	page II-10	The last paragraph on the page (after the second bulleted list) should be reformatted to be part of the bulleted list directly above it.	Include this paragraph as the third type of sample project or support group in the list.	09/15/06
11	page II-17	In the Parameters and Limits section, add the following bullets to the end of the list: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> any PA designated as "not applicable" and the rationale for its exclusion the rationale for selecting the sample projects and support groups as representative of the organizational unit the coverage of the organizational critical factors provided by the sample projects and support groups in relation to the organizational unit in quantitative terms projects, categories, or groups/functions that are specifically excluded from the appraisal as well as the justification for their exclusion 	These items are identified in activity 1.1.3 (Determine Appraisal Scope) as having to be documented in the appraisal input, but were not included in the minimum list of information that is needed to be addressed in the Parameters and Limits for activity 1.1.5, Obtain Commitment to Appraisal Input.	09/22/06
12	page II-93	In the Required Practices section in the fourth bullet, replace "model practice model" with "model practice."	Fix a typo	09/22/06
13*	pages II-10, III-50, & III-52	In the first two bullets of the last paragraph on page II-10 and in the glossary definition of "focus project" and "non-focus project," replace "objective evidence" with "objective evidence sufficient to rate the PA."	Make these changes to make explicit the objective evidence requirements for focus projects and non-focus projects.	01/24/07
14	page II-11	Append the following sentences to the first paragraph on page II-11: "When one or more focus/non-focus projects have not reached the stage in the lifecycle to have implemented a practice for a PA assigned to the project, other projects must be identified to provide objective evidence for that practice in order to generate at least three instances for that practice unless there are no other projects in the organizational unit that have reached that stage. If the organizational unit includes three or fewer projects, all of the projects in the organizational unit must be focus projects."	Make it clear that the requirement for the organizational scope to generate at least three instances of each practice in each project-related PA includes only instances where the project has reached the stage in the lifecycle to have implemented the practice. Also, make explicit the organizational scope requirements for an organizational unit of three or fewer projects.	01/24/07
15	page II-37	In activity 1.3.2, Select Team Members, under Parameters and Limits, add "Appraisal Sponsors cannot be team members."	There is an inherent conflict of interest when a sponsor is on an appraisal team. If a sponsor is a team member on a SCAMPI Class A appraisal, there is a strong possibility that the results would be perceived as being biased.	04/18/2007
16	page II-14	In the first paragraph of the Parameters and Limits section, delete the second bullet: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ADS (see activity 2.6.4 Document Appraisal Results)" 	The ADS is already included in the appraisal record.	05/08/07

* The Description of Correction in item 13 was modified to be more specific. What was "objective evidence sufficient to rate" is now "objective evidence sufficient to rate the PA."

17	page II-40	After the first sentence on the page, begin a new paragraph that begins, "At a minimum, all team members. . ."	Make it clear that the second sentence applies to appraisal method training and not to model training.	05/08/07
18	page II-111	<p>Replace the second Required Practice with the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If any of the specific goals are rated Not Rated and none of the other specific goals are rated Unsatisfied, then the PA is rated Not Rated and a capability level will not be assigned. • If all the specific goals are rated Satisfied and there are generic goals that are rated Not Rated, then the capability level assigned is the highest level where the generic goal is rated Satisfied. 	Clarify that an Unsatisfied specific goal and Satisfied generic goals have precedence over Not Rated goals in determining a capability level.	05/08/07